EXHIBIT C

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5374-3 Filed: 01/14/20 Entered: 01/14/20 15:56:59 Page 1 of 3

Entered on Docket August 28, 2019 EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

In re:

COMPANY,

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

PG&E CORPORATION, PACIFIC GAS

Plaintiffs,

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT

ASSOCIATION OF NEW MEXICO and

Defendants.

Debtors.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

v.

YORK COUNTY,

2.0 21

22

24

23

25

26

27 28 Signed and Filed: August 28, 2019

Montal. **DENNIS MONTALI** U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Bankruptcy Case		
No. 19	-30088	(DM)
		,
Chapter	11	
Chapter	$\perp \perp$	

(Lead Case)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-03039 (DM)

Date: August 27, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m. (PST)

Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court

Courtroom 17, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Debtors have not carried their heavy burden to justify enjoining defendants (plaintiffs in the United States District Court securities litigation pending in this district). While it

is true that the action is all about the Northern California wildfires and Debtors' alleged culpability for causing them, the proper remedy on the present record is for the district court defendants to defeat that action in the district court by motions or otherwise.

Defendants do not seriously contest that Debtors' reorganization is likely. But that often-invoked theme, standing alone and without at least a minimum of proof that prosecution of the securities action will or might imperil the reorganization effort, will not support the extreme remedy of injunctive relief.

The best the Debtors have to offer at this point is that prosecution might be costly to them, their insurers or both. That is not enough. Nor is it enough to justify injunctive relief by asking "what's the harm?"

Debtors' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction as to In re PG&E Corp. Securities Litig., 18-CV-03509 (N.D. Cal.) (Dkt. 2) is DENIED, without prejudice to a renewed request when and if the securities litigation progresses to a point where there is a demonstrably negative impact on the Debtors' reorganization or other facts cry out for injunctive relief.

****END OF ORDER****

26

27

28